



# Integrated Nutrient Management for Sustainable Production of Cassava in Konkan Region

N. V. Mhaskar, A. T. Jadye, P. M. Haldankar, S. A. Chavan and U. V. Mahadkar

AICRP on Tuber Crops, Central Experiment Station, Wakawali, Dapoli, Maharashtra

Corresponding author: N. V. Mhaskar, e-mail: namdev\_mhaskar@rediffmail.com

Received: 25 March 2013; Accepted: 25 June 2013

## Abstract

Integrated nutrient management (INM) is basically the complementary use of organic, inorganic and biological sources of plant nutrients to maintain and sustain soil fertility and enhance crop productivity. For standardization of INM package for cassava under Konkan region, field experiments were conducted at AICRP on Tuber Crops Centre at Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli during 2008 to 2011. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications. Cassava var. Sree Jaya was used for the study. The thirteen treatments comprised recommended dose of FYM + NPK and combinations of 3/4<sup>th</sup> recommended dose of FYM + NK with vermicompost @ 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, dhaincha @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, *Glyricidia* green leaf manure @ 25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and cowpea @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and FYM alone. All the above treatments were supplemented with panchagavya drenching @ 3% and without drenching of panchagavya. The pooled analysis revealed that significantly highest tuber yield of 45.67 t ha<sup>-1</sup> was obtained in the treatment combination 3/4<sup>th</sup> recommended dose of FYM+ NK + *Glyricidia* green leaf manure @ 25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with drenching of panchagavya. Application of 3/4<sup>th</sup> recommended dose of FYM+ NK + dhaincha @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as green manure with drenching of panchagavya also yielded well (42.43 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and gave highest net returns of ` 90, 462 ha<sup>-1</sup> and B: C ratio of 1.88. Besides the major nutrient status of the soil was also improved due to this treatment.

**Key words:** Cassava, integrated nutrient management, productivity, sustainability

## Introduction

Cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz), forms an important alternate source of energy to meet the demand of the geometrically increasing population and serves as an important staple or subsidiary food for about one fifth of the world's population (Sasankan et al., 2008). It can also be used as alternative source of feed for livestock in both tropical and subtropical countries (Edison, 2006). India acquires significance in the global cassava production scenario due to its high productivity in the world (34.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) with an area of 0.24 m ha producing 8.1 m t (James George et al., 2012). The climatic conditions of Konkan region of Maharashtra are suitable for cassava cultivation as a sole as well as an intercrop. For promoting cassava cultivation under Konkan region

as well as rest of Maharashtra, a standard production technology is essential. The standardization of nutrient management is one of the aspects of production technology. Though cassava is adapted to soils with marginal fertility, it is proved beyond doubt that high rates of nutrients can enhance its productivity (Susan John, 2010). By adopting proper nutrient management practices, it is possible to realize high productivity from cassava. Integrated nutrient management is a concept where macro and micro nutrients are supplied to crops with combinations of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients. This maintains the soil health and sustains crop productivity. The objective of this study was to develop integrated nutrient management package with different organic and inorganic sources of nutrients for cassava in the Konkan region.

## Materials and Methods

Field experiment was conducted at the Central Experiment Station, Wakawali, Dapoli, Maharashtra during 2008 to 2011. The soil of experimental site was lateritic in nature having acidic soil reaction with a pH of 5.3. The soil was rated as low for available N (188.16 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), available P (8.78 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and available K (127.68 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The experimental location experiences a warm humid climate with mean annual rainfall of 3500 mm. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications. A short-duration cassava variety, Sree Jaya, released from the Central Tuber Crops Research Institute, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India, was the test variety. There were 13 treatments:

- T<sub>1</sub> : Recommended dose of FYM + NPK
- T<sub>2</sub> : ¾ RD of FYM + NK + vermicompost @ 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 3% panchagavya
- T<sub>3</sub> : ¾ RD of FYM + NK + dhaincha @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (green manure) + 3% panchagavya
- T<sub>4</sub> : ¾ RD of FYM + NK + green leaf manure (*Glycidia* @ 25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) + 3% panchagavya
- T<sub>5</sub> : ¾ RD of FYM + NK + cowpea @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (green manure) + 3% panchagavya
- T<sub>6</sub> : FYM (12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) + 3% panchagavya
- T<sub>7</sub> : Recommended dose of FYM+NPK (RDF)
- T<sub>8</sub> : ¾ RD of FYM + NK + vermicompost @ 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>
- T<sub>9</sub> : ¾ RD of FYM + NK + dhaincha @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (green manure)
- T<sub>10</sub> : ¾ RD of FYM + NK + green leaf manure (*Glycidia* @ 25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>)
- T<sub>11</sub> : ¾ RD of FYM + NK + cowpea @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (green manure)
- T<sub>12</sub> : FYM (12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>)
- T<sub>13</sub> : Absolute control (without FYM, NPK and panchagavya)

Well decomposed FYM @ 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and NPK @ 100:50:100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> were applied as the recommended nutrient dose. Full dose of P and half dose of N and K were applied as basal dose at the time of planting. The remaining half dose of N and K were applied as top dressing at 60 days after planting during intercultural

operations and earthing up. The organic manures and inorganic fertilizers were applied at different doses as per the treatment requirements. The FYM and vermicompost were incorporated in the plot as per the treatments before preparation of ridges. The dhaincha and cowpea seeds were sown at the time of planting and were incorporated in the soil before flowering (at 40 DAS) in the respective plots. The green matter contribution from dhaincha and cowpea were 18.4 and 13.8 t ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The *Glycidia* green leaf manure @ 25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> was incorporated at the time of planting in soil as per the respective treatments. The panchagavya stock solution was prepared by using cow dung, cow urine, cow ghee, cow curd and cow milk as per the procedure given by Asangla et al. (2005) and applied @ 3% to respective treatments. The disease free, fully mature stem cuttings having diameter of 2-3 cm and length of 15-20 cm was planted at a spacing of 90 cm x 90 cm. Planting was done during first fortnight of June. The plot size was 2.7 m x 2.7 m. The intercultural operations were done as and when necessary. Plant growth, yield attributes and yield were recorded. Soil samples were collected initially and at the end of season for analysis of available N, P and K contents as per standard procedures. The economic parameters with respect to different treatments were worked out based on the prevailing market price of 2010-2011. Data of each character collected from the experiment was analyzed statistically using standard procedures of analysis of variance (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

## Results and Discussion

### Growth parameters

Growth characters viz., plant height, main and sub branches, leaf length, leaf breadth and fresh total biomass (stems and leaves) were significantly influenced by combined application of organic manure, green manure and inorganic fertilizers. Data presented in Table 1 indicated that the treatment T<sub>3</sub> (¾ RD of FYM + NK + dhaincha @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (green manure)+ 3% panchagavya) resulted in significantly tallest plant (183.11 cm) over rest of the treatments, except treatments T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>7</sub>, which were on par. All the treatment combinations produced on an average two main branches per plant, which was significantly superior to T<sub>13</sub> (1.0). The treatment T<sub>4</sub> resulted in significantly highest sub

Table 1. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth parameters of cassava

Treatments	Height (cm)	Number of main branches	Number of sub branches	Leaf length (cm)	Leaf breadth (cm)	Fresh total biomass (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub>	171.33	2.00	12.00	27.56	22.00	22.70
T <sub>2</sub>	165.11	2.00	11.00	21.33	20.11	18.73
T <sub>3</sub>	183.11	2.00	11.00	27.89	21.61	23.78
T <sub>4</sub>	182.89	2.00	12.00	27.00	22.72	23.19
T <sub>5</sub>	164.22	2.00	11.00	26.56	21.72	19.21
T <sub>6</sub>	145.89	2.00	8.00	22.22	20.33	11.45
T <sub>7</sub>	170.22	2.00	12.00	23.50	20.39	21.40
T <sub>8</sub>	162.11	2.00	11.00	20.54	18.22	16.84
T <sub>9</sub>	173.11	2.00	11.00	24.39	24.50	20.31
T <sub>10</sub>	172.67	2.00	11.00	26.17	23.44	19.36
T <sub>11</sub>	151.11	2.00	9.00	23.11	20.56	18.28
T <sub>12</sub>	120.56	2.00	6.00	19.90	17.56	10.20
T <sub>13</sub>	99.44	1.00	5.00	16.17	15.44	6.14
CD (0.05)	13.57	0.24	2.24	4.19	2.26	2.63

branches per plant (12), which was on par with the treatments T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>8</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>10</sub> (10-12 per plant). The maximum leaf length of 27.89 cm and leaf breadth of 24.50 cm was obtained due to the treatments T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>9</sub>, respectively. With regard to fresh biomass production, T<sub>3</sub> resulted in significantly greatest biomass (23.78 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), which was on par with the treatments, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>7</sub>. The control treatment T<sub>13</sub> resulted in significantly lowest values of all the growth parameters. The promotion of growth parameters clearly indicates the positive response to combined application of nutrient sources. The *Glycidia* green leaf manure and dhaincha green manure contains nutrients and decomposes very fast. Ultimately it improves the soil physico-chemical properties, which imparts favorable soil structure for root growth and thereby promotes plant growth. The results are in conformity with the findings of Sharma and Bhalla (1998) in okra, Jadhav et al. (1998) in sweet potato and Saikia et al. (2010) in taro.

#### Yield attributes

The integration of green manure with organic and inorganic sources of nutrients could bring about significant difference in tuber yield and yield attributes viz., length and girth of tuber, number of tubers, average tuber weight and tuber yield per

plant (Table 2). The integrated nutrient management treatments, T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>, resulted in significantly greatest length and girth of tuber, 29.98 cm and 17.64 cm, respectively. The average data of two years revealed that the number of tubers per plant was significantly higher (7.0) in the treatment T<sub>3</sub> (¾ RD of FYM + NK + dhaincha @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as green manure with 3% panchgavya) which was on par with most other treatments, except T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>12</sub> and T<sub>13</sub>. The treatments T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> were superior in the case of tuber characteristics mainly due to maximum availability of soil nutrients and production of

Table 2. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield attributes of cassava (mean of two years)

Treatments	Tuber length (cm)	Tuber girth (cm)	Number of tubers per plant	Tuber yield (kg plant <sup>-1</sup> )	Mean weight of tuber (g)
T <sub>1</sub>	29.20	16.84	7.00	2.73	405.29
T <sub>2</sub>	25.38	16.31	7.00	2.45	381.90
T <sub>3</sub>	29.76	17.64	7.00	2.87	413.76
T <sub>4</sub>	29.98	16.60	7.00	2.91	441.41
T <sub>5</sub>	28.71	17.44	7.00	2.57	377.50
T <sub>6</sub>	21.11	15.84	4.00	1.44	325.46
T <sub>7</sub>	27.20	17.33	7.00	2.59	397.47
T <sub>8</sub>	22.69	15.98	6.00	1.97	333.23
T <sub>9</sub>	26.31	16.49	7.00	2.38	351.33
T <sub>10</sub>	26.56	16.04	6.00	2.34	372.62
T <sub>11</sub>	24.47	15.84	6.00	2.21	363.48
T <sub>12</sub>	18.62	15.56	4.00	1.14	274.23
T <sub>13</sub>	14.91	13.36	3.00	0.55	208.52
CD (0.05)	3.80	1.15	0.86	0.33	37.82

growth hormones. The increase in uptake of nutrients from the soil might have resulted in enough production of carbohydrate in the leaves for translocation to the sink which might have contributed to maximum tuber number and mean weight of tuber. This is in agreement with the report of Pathak et al. (2005). The treatment T<sub>4</sub> resulted in significantly highest yield of 2.91 kg per plant which was significantly superior to rest of the treatments, except T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>7</sub>. The same treatment resulted in significantly maximum tuber weight of 441.41 g, which was on par with T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> and superior to rest of the treatments. The increase in average tuber weight per plant and tuber yield per plant in T<sub>4</sub> was presumably due to the greater availability of nutrients in soil leading to better nutrient uptake by plant, which in turn increased the photosynthetic area. Similar findings were also reported by Kandaswamy et al. (1988)

#### Tuber yield

The tuber yield of cassava was influenced significantly by the different nutrient management treatments during individual years as well as in pooled analysis (Table 3). The pooled mean of tuber yield for four consecutive years (2008-2012) showed that the maximum tuber yield 45.67 t ha<sup>-1</sup> was obtained in the treatment T<sub>4</sub> (¾ RD of FYM+ NK with *Glyricidia* green leaf manure @ 25 t ha along with panchagavya), which was on par with T<sub>3</sub> (42.43 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and significantly superior over the rest of the treatment combinations. This may be due to the cumulative effects of vigorous plant growth and yield attributes, which resulted in higher accumulation of

Table 3. Effect of integrated nutrient management on tuber yield of cassava

Treatments	Tuber yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )				Pooled mean
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	
T <sub>1</sub>	32.74	52.53	33.77	33.64	38.17
T <sub>2</sub>	36.17	45.45	30.35	30.10	35.52
T <sub>3</sub>	43.14	55.66	35.86	35.08	42.43
T <sub>4</sub>	51.00	59.94	36.65	35.09	45.67
T <sub>5</sub>	49.07	47.92	32.09	31.28	40.09
T <sub>6</sub>	39.02	45.12	16.85	18.81	29.95
T <sub>7</sub>	38.97	43.97	31.61	32.37	36.73
T <sub>8</sub>	33.78	49.24	22.50	26.10	32.90
T <sub>9</sub>	44.30	44.46	26.92	31.96	36.91
T <sub>10</sub>	55.78	46.93	26.01	31.88	40.15
T <sub>11</sub>	47.79	40.84	25.85	28.77	35.81
T <sub>12</sub>	39.23	43.64	13.84	14.33	27.78
T <sub>13</sub>	26.49	26.02	6.27	7.28	16.52
CD (0.05)	6.151	10.95	7.07	4.61	3.46

carbohydrates, increased photosynthetic activity and translocation to the tuber. This finding is in accordance with Jena et al. (1999). Precise application of nutrients in right quantity, at right time to match the crop need, enhanced the nutrient uptake, biochemical activities, which consequently led to better growth and yield. These results are in conformity with the findings of Maheswari et al. (2000) in Ashwagandha.

#### Chemical properties of soil

The treatment T<sub>3</sub> (¾ RD of FYM + NK + dhaincha @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as green manure along with panchagavya) resulted in higher available N (324.05 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in soil followed by T<sub>9</sub> (303.15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), which was significantly superior over the rest of the nutrient combinations (Table 4). The available P status in soil was the maximum (19.42 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in the treatment T<sub>3</sub> which was significantly superior over the rest of the treatments. The same treatment was superior in the case of available K (254.24 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and on par with the treatments, T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>6</sub> and T<sub>11</sub>, while significantly superior over the rest of the treatments under study. The treatments where FYM @ 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied with and without panchagavya and control treatment resulted in net negative available N and P in soil over initial N and P status, whereas in the case of available K only the control treatment showed net negative balance over initial status of available K.

Nanda et al. (2012) reported that the incorporation of organic manures and biofertilizers along with inorganic fertilizers (75% of recommended dose of fertilizer) and micro nutrients promoted soil quality by enhancing the availability of N, P and K status of soil in turmeric.

#### Economics

The economics of different nutrient management treatments evaluated at cost C level revealed that the treatment T<sub>3</sub> (i.e. ¾ RD of FYM + NK + dhaincha @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with panchagavya) realized the highest net returns of ₹ 90,462 ha<sup>-1</sup> and B: C ratio of 1.88. This might be due to maximum productivity (42.43 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) as well as the lowest cost of cultivation (Table 5). Even though the treatment T<sub>4</sub> produced highest tuber yield, due to

Table 4. Effect of integrated nutrient management on chemical properties of soil

Treatments	pH	EC (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )	Available N (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Available P (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Available K (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub>	5.33	0.087	198.61	11.44	206.83
T <sub>2</sub>	5.30	0.087	188.16	10.11	171.36
T <sub>3</sub>	5.33	0.087	324.05	19.42	254.24
T <sub>4</sub>	5.33	0.087	229.97	10.91	214.67
T <sub>5</sub>	5.33	0.087	261.33	15.16	234.08
T <sub>6</sub>	5.30	0.087	156.80	7.18	144.85
T <sub>7</sub>	5.33	0.087	188.16	10.91	204.59
T <sub>8</sub>	5.30	0.087	177.71	8.78	159.41
T <sub>9</sub>	5.33	0.087	303.15	15.43	244.91
T <sub>10</sub>	5.33	0.087	209.07	9.31	191.52
T <sub>11</sub>	5.33	0.087	250.88	14.10	202.72
T <sub>12</sub>	5.30	0.087	146.35	6.92	129.17
T <sub>13</sub>	5.30	0.087	135.89	5.85	111.63
CD (0.05)	NS	NS	25.73	2.14	65.60
Initial	5.30	0.087	188.16	8.78	127.68

Table 5. Economic analysis of different nutrient management treatments in cassava

Treatment	Tuber yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Gross income (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Cost of cultivation (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net returns (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	B:C ratio
T <sub>1</sub>	38.17	176680	103801	72879	1.70
T <sub>2</sub>	35.52	166080	97352	68728	1.71
T <sub>3</sub>	42.43	193720	103258	90462	1.88
T <sub>4</sub>	45.67	206680	116526	90154	1.77
T <sub>5</sub>	40.09	184360	102207	82153	1.80
T <sub>6</sub>	29.95	143800	100041	43759	1.44
T <sub>7</sub>	36.73	170920	102060	68860	1.67
T <sub>8</sub>	32.90	155600	94688	60912	1.64
T <sub>9</sub>	36.91	171640	98796	72844	1.74
T <sub>10</sub>	40.15	184600	112064	72536	1.65
T <sub>11</sub>	35.81	167240	98571	68669	1.70
T <sub>12</sub>	27.78	135120	97813	37307	1.38
T <sub>13</sub>	16.52	90080	63254	26826	1.42
CD (0.05)	3.46	-	-	-	-

FYM: ~ 1800 t<sup>-1</sup>; Planting material: ~ 1 set<sup>-1</sup>; Labour cost: ~ 120 day<sup>-1</sup>; Urea: ~ 5.60 kg<sup>-1</sup>; Single super phosphate: ~ 3.60 kg<sup>-1</sup>; Muriate of Potash: ~ 5.20 kg<sup>-1</sup>; Cowpea seed: ~ 56 kg<sup>-1</sup>; Dhaincha seed: ~ 33.50 kg<sup>-1</sup>; *Glyricidia*: ~ 180 t<sup>-1</sup>; Vermicompost : ~ 5 kg<sup>-1</sup>; Panchagavya: ~ 450 l<sup>-1</sup>; Price of tuber: ~ 3.5 kg<sup>-1</sup>.

its higher cost of cultivation it was not economical than treatment T<sub>3</sub>.

#### Conclusion

It is concluded from the pooled results that the application of ¾ RD of FYM+ NK + *Glyricidia* green leaf manure @ 25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with 3% panchagavya (T<sub>4</sub>)

produced significantly highest tuber yield of 45.67 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. However it was on par with the treatment T<sub>3</sub> (42.43 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) i.e. sowing of dhaincha @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as green manure with ¾ RD of FYM + NK with 3% panchagavya. However the treatment T<sub>3</sub> resulted in maximum net return of ~ 90,462 ha<sup>-1</sup> and B: C ratio of 1.88. The nutrient status of soil under treatment T<sub>3</sub> was also higher

with respect to available major nutrients N, P and K. Hence, the treatment combination, sowing of dhaincha @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as green manure with ¾ RD of FYM, N and K with 3% panchagavya is recommended for cassava cultivation in Konkan region of Maharashtra.

## References

- Asangla, H. K., Pandian B. J. and Raniselva, A. 2005. Panchgavya spray produces tastier banana fruit. *Agrobios Newsl.*, **3**(1):20-21.
- Edison, S. 2006. Potential begun to be realized. *The Hindu Survey of Indian Agriculture*. pp. 76-80.
- Gomez, K. A. and Gomez, A. A. 1984. *Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edn., John Wiley and Sons, New York, 680 p.
- Jadhav, A. C., Memane, S. A. and Khonde, B. K. 1998. Response of diazotrophs and nitrogen level on sweet potato. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.*, **23**(3): 247-248.
- James George, Suresh Kumar, P. and Unnikrishnan, M. 2012. *Description of Recommended/Released Varieties under AICRP on Tuber Crops 1975-2011*. All India Co-ordinated Project on Tuber Crops, Central Tuber Crops Research Institute, Thiruvanthapuram, Kerala. pp. 11.
- Jena, M. K., Das, P. K. and Pattanaik, A. K. 1999. Integrated effects of microbial inoculants and fertilizer nitrogen on N-use efficiency and rhizome yield of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.). *Orissa J. Hort.*, **27**(2): 10-16.
- Kandaswamy, D., Palaniswamy, D. and Oblisamy, O. 1988. Screening of germplasm of sweet potatoes for VA mycorrhizal fungi and *Azospirillum*. *J. Root Crops*, **14**(1): 37-42.
- Maheswari, S. K., Sharma, R. K. and Gangrade, S. K. 2000. Response of ashwagandha (*Withania somnifera*) to organic manures and fertilizers in a shallow black soil under rainfed condition. *Indian J. Agron.*, **45** (1): 14-16.
- Nanda, S. S., Mohapatra, S. and Mukhi, S. K. 2012. Integrated effect of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on turmeric (*Curcuma longa*). *Indian J. Agron.* **57**(2): 191-194.
- Pathak, S. K., Singh, S. B., Jha, R. N. and Sharma, R. P. 2005. Effect of management on nutrient uptake and changes in soil fertility in maize-wheat cropping system. *Indian J. Agron.*, **50** (4): 269-73.
- Saikia, J., Mahajan, S., Nath, D. J. and Borah, P. 2010. Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield, quality and economics of eddoe taro (*Colocasia esculenta* (L.) Schott. *J. Root Crops*, **36** (2): 194-203.
- Sasankan, V. R., Anilkumar, A., Anantharaman, M. and Motilal Nehru, S. 2008. Cassava production system typologies in Kerala state. *J. Root Crops*, **34**(1): 61-64.
- Sharma, N. K. and Bhalla, P.L. 1998. Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and economics of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). *Veg. Sci.*, **22** (1): 44-46.
- Susan John, K. 2010. Dynamics of nutrients under cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) grown in an ultisol of Kerala – A review. *J. Root Crops*, **36**(1): 1-13.